G. R. S. 58

Previous references.

G. Pr file 110 of 93-
Board of Mem. G.7.1570 of 06-7 1906-7
B. Aug. 04. No 1/215 of 05 Ex. 284 of 04
(Gen

File No. 1106-06.

Serial Nos. 3, 5, 27.

Later references.

CB 141-18 of 42

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 3, 5, 27, 28

Part B. for January 08

SUBJECT.

H. Krishna Iyer State Mizp
Treasury Officer.

From Mr. K. Krishna Iyer. ~~Dr~~ D. No. 1043 of 25.10.06.
Represents that by virtue of his past servi
and by virtue of his position he is entitled
to a better salary than what he now gets
and a better status that of An Asst Com
She. A. I class

From Mr. Krishna Iyer Regt No. 17241 (D.C
Requests that his proper position & salary
be recognised by Govt

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Gen: Fin)

NOTES.

FILE HEADING.

K Krishna Iyer. State Huzur Treasury officer

Mr. K. Krishna Iyer.

No. Application Dated 25.10.06 Received 27.10.06.

Diary No. 1643 | Enclos. Spare Copies. Serial No. 3

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Represents that by virtue of his past Service Virtue of his present position, he is entitled to pay than Rs: 450/- and a better status than the Asstt. Comr. Sch: A, I class.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

K. Krishna Iyer's application is submitted for orders with Complete references Subd to Sec

The most important refe

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G. J. File No. 100 of 1906-7.

Serial No.

has not been put up; Vg. that containing the views of Govt. express in connection with Mr B. Sobaleigan's retirement & Mr K. Krishna Iyer's appt as State Treasury Dy. officer. Please put them up also

3-11-06

Submitted to Secy

3/11

This was put up for reference & Submitted thereon on 26.10.06, with the Res[t] letter on the Subject of the financial condition of the State in reference to the reduction of the Salaries the State Treasury in that file.

K.S. 3/11/06.

3/11/06

The Record Clerk Vg from the Secy

The file on the Subject (G.O. R 660 of 1905) is put up - from which it is seen that the facts are in favor of continuing the Treasury for some time to come, with an officer of the Status of an Asstt Collr

Submitted to Secy for orders

K.S. 9-11-06

8/11/06.

I am afraid the old reference which Mr Krishna Iyer

Serial No.

Cf. also the Decision noted on p. 14-5-06 in File 6607/1906. JCN

Facts about the position and status of the Uhagana Bakshi or State Treasury Officer have been ~~rather~~ somewhat affected by the recent Civil Service Rules under which the position of this officer has been reduced to that of an ordinary Treasury Assistant Commissioner. Vide the scale of appts of Asstt Comrs given in para XIV of the Order of 28th June 1906. Whether the charge of the State Treasury entails a substantial excess of responsibility such as that entailed by ordinary Treasury charge is a matter on which the Comptroller may be consulted, ~~with a view to~~ ~~first considering whether~~

The special considerations which Mr Krishna Rao urges as regards his past services, present position as compared with that of other officers, his contemporaries, ~~I am~~ a matter for orders of Govt.

Allied to the question of the status of the State Treasury Officer the position of that officer with regard to the Comptroller. Mr Krishna Rao —

G.R.S. 12J
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

contends that it is that of the Deputy Commissioner in the Treasury dept. & As Superintendent of Stamps his communications to Govt are generally addressed directly to & not to or through the Comptroller. It seems necessary to obtain the opinion of the Comptroller on this question of relative position also. Under recent orders the responsibility for Treasury administration in the State has been defined & assigned to the Revenue Commissioner but the State Treasury Treasury does not seem to be an ordinary district Treasury but a special one intended chiefly to facilitate certain banking & other transactions connected with the general State account

Subd to Govt for perusal & orders

12-11-06

12/11/06

12/11/06

File. 12/11/06

G.R.S. 11] 1907-08

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Gen II Fin)

NOTES.

File. No. 100-06

FILE HEADING.

Mr. K. Krishna Iyer

From

The State Huzur Treasury Officer &c

No. Letter Dated 26/10/07 Received 30/10/07

Diary No. 1411 | Enclos. 1 | Spare Copies. - | Serial No. 27

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requests for reasons given that he may be given the Status of a Deputy Commissioner like his predecessor, Mr. B. Gopalaiengar with a pay of Rs 7 per mensem

NOTES AND ORDERS.

"Please put up references &c

Intd C. S. B. 30/10/07

The previous papers are put up.

Submitted 2-11-07

Put also the papers regarding the abolition of the separate appointment.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 100 of 1906-7

Serial No.

Mr. Krishna Iyer Office

10.
2-11-7

Gen: Dis 196-06, ~~is put up~~. In this the late Comptroller Mr. Bash has given his opinion that the State Treasury can be ~~entrusted~~ entrusted to an Asst: Comptr: in addition to his own duties. There is a similar opinion in the L. R. File 660-05. (Put up into Diary no: R1214 & Submitted to Dewan in reference to the power of the State Treasury officer to retain in Service after over 55 years of age).

Submitted.
K.S.
9/11/07

Please flag the references marked in pencil, Mr. Cadell's ~~of~~ ¶ 5 and ¶ 8 (A) and submit to me. 18.
12/11/7

Submitted.
K.S.
19.11.07.

The previous representation made by Mr Krishna Iyer in Oct. 06 has been filed without orders.

Mr Krishna Iyer now urges his case again & asks for ~~an~~ orders about his representation.

GPB-50,000—25-2-07

Serial No.

So far as the general merits of the question are concerned, Mr Bacon and Mr Majumdar have been of opinion that a separate highly paid officer is not necessary for charge of the State Huzur Treasury, and that the Hr can well be placed in charge of one of the Asst Comptroller in addition to his other duties.

Besides Treasury work & Stamp work the Huzur Treasury officer is in charge of Political pensioners. The work connected with this is not particularly heavy, & if the asst Comptroller ~~the~~ placed in charge is unable to do it, it may be done in the Secty

On the general aspects of the question therefore there is no necessity to enhance the status of the Officer in charge of the Treasury to that of a Deputy Comm^r.

On the personal aspects of the case Mr Krishna Iyer is an asst Comm^r 1st class in Schedule A & has been drawing 450 Rs from May 1903. He also represents that even in attaining

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. of 190

Serial No.

that grade several asst comm
junior Whim superseded him
for various reasons. ~~Incase~~
Whether in these circumstance
~~he deserves~~ any special
consideration should be shown
is a matte. for Govt to decide

CBB
8/12/07

Submitted to His Highnes

submit for orders an application f

Mr. K. Krishna Iyer, Assistant Commissioner in char
of the State Huzur Treasury, complaining that offic
who were once junior to him have now gone over him,
praying that he may be given the status of a Deputy
Commissioner, with a pay of Rs. 700 per mensem.

Mr. Krishna Iyer was appointed Priva
Secretary to the Dewan in December 1892. In Februar
1897 he represented that he had passed the tests pr
scribed for Assistant Commissioners in November 189
and requested that he might be made an Assistant Co
missioner from the 14th November 1896. In October
and in April and September 1898 he made similar rep
sentations about officers who were once junior to h
being in receipt of more pay than he was getting.
a consideration of all these representations he was
November 1898 appointed to the grade of Assistant C
missioner, 2nd class, schedule A, on a pay of Rs. 4
per mensem. He was promoted to the 1st class of As
sistant Commissioners in that schedule with effect

from the 25th May 1903.

Owing to the absence of vacancies in the higher grades, Mr. Krishna Iyer has not since had any promotion. But the case is the same with other officers of both the old A and B schedules who rose to the pay of Rs. 450 even before he did. Mr. K. R. Srinivas Iyengar who came to the Rs.

came a Senior Assistant Commissioner only in ~~June~~ January 190

Mr. Tygaraja Iyer who rose to the Rs.450 grade in July 1900 became Senior Assistant Commissioner only in ~~July~~ 1907, and Mr. A. Subramanya Iyer who reached the Rs. 450 grade in December 1901 is still in that grade and is only sub-pro-tem Senior Assistant Commissioner.

I do not know what special grievance Mr. Krishna Iyer has, since no officer who reached Rs.450 after he did has been placed above him.

As for his having reached Rs. 450 after othe officers who were once drawing less pay than he, this was due to his having been appointed to the old A schedule (he could not of course be appointed to the old B schedule which was recruited by competition) in whic promotion went by vacancies and not by mere efflux of time. It is of course possible that his position would have been better if the grading and organization of

the Civil Service, adopted in June 1906, had been thought of when he was appointed to the grade of Assistant Commissioner in 1898; but a different system prevailed in those days, and he cannot be allowed to bring forward claims based on what would have happened if the present system had then prevailed. Moreover, the complaint that officers who were once drawing less pay than he, were getting higher pay , was already made by Mr. Krishna Iyer in 1897 and 1898, and it was with this complaint before them and in consequence of it, that when he was appointed an Assistant Commissioner he was placed in the Rs. 400 grade. The same facts cannot again be urged for further improving Mr. Krishna Iyer's position.

As regards the status of the officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury, the existing orders are that the appointment should be held by an Assistant Commissioner. The duties of the officer in charge are not of sufficient importance or so onerous as to justify his being graded with Deputy Commissioners. On the other hand both Mr. Basu and Mr. Majumdar have, in consideration of the extremely light duties attached to the appointment of Huzur Treasury Officer, recommended that the Huzur Treasury may be placed in charge

of one of the Assistant Comptrollers and the appointment done away with altogether. Early steps will be taken to give effect to this proposal.

In the meantime, Mr. Krishna Iyer may be informed that his position in the list of Assistant Commissioners has been settled with full consideration of his case and that Government do not see their way to making him Deputy Commissioner in charge of the Huzur Treasury.

12/12/1928

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Application from Mr. K. Krishna Iye Assistant Commissioner in charge of the Stat Huzur Treasury, complaining of supersession praying that he may be given the status and of a Deputy Commissioner.

Order.

Approved

KRW

13/12/09

13/12/09

Putupa draft C&S 16/12/8 16/12

G. P. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

A. Fin. : File No. 100 of 1906-7

Serial No.

Submitted with a draft according
K.S.
18/12/07
Keep a clean copy in the file and submit hereof
18/12/07

Submitted
K.S.
19/12/07
Submd to Dewan
Approved
19/1/08
Issue
27/1/08
Branch I for issue
27/1

To the Officer in Charge of the State Bank Treasury
No: R4756 dt 30/1/08
Regrets that Govt do not see their way to Comply with Mr Krishna Iyer's prayer & to accord to him the Status and pay of a Deputy Commissioner.

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Departments,
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you to be so good as to submit the following representation for the kind consideration of Government.

2. I was appointed Private Secretary to the Dewan on 7th December 1892 on a pay of ₹250/- per mensem, graded as Assistant Commissioner, 4th Class, Schedule A. Among other members of the Mysore Civil Service, Messrs. T. Paramasiva Iyer and C. Srikanteswara Aiyar attained similar substantive rank later than myself on 19th and 22nd December 1892, while Messrs. K.S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar and R.B. Plumer reached the same still later on 23rd July 1893 and 19th November 1894 respectively. Of them, two are now District Judges and one is a Deputy Commissioner on Rs. 700/- per mensem, and Mr. Chandrasekhara Aiyar is Secretary to Government, General and Revenue Departments on a pay of ₹800/- rising to ₹1,200/-, while I still draw only Rs. 450/

3. For six years I discharged the onerous duties of the Private Secretary to Dewan, and during the period of the Regency Council, in particular, my position was peculiarly a very delicate and responsible one, the cares and anxieties of which were accentuated by the combination of two important offices of the Private Secretary to the Dewan

the tension and strain of such heavy duties considerably enfeebled my general health, that I was permitted to go out on executive duty.

4. While I am unwilling to trouble the Government with a retrospect of my grievances and supersessions, the circumstances under which I remain practically stationary when so many of my juniors who occupied positions of less worry, labour and responsibility have risen above me, render it imperative, in bare justice to myself, that I should submit my case for the sympathetic and favourable consideration of Government, now that they have an opport nity of equalising my position, by sanctioning a pay commensurate with the dignity and responsibility of the office of the time honored "Huzur Khazana Bakshi" and Superintendent of Stamps to which I have lately been called, b the gracious command of His Highness the Maharaja.

In para 2 of their Proceedings No.13663-4-752, dated Bangalore 29th February 1892, the Government defined the status and functions of the Huzur Khazana Bakshi as follows

> "He is an officer of status among the Durbar Officers on the Head Quarters Staff, and while recognizing it as necessary that he should always occupy this position, the Government are of opinion that he should be the Treasury Officer of the State Huzur Tresaury, and that there should be under him a Treasurer, whose status should be superior to that of Treasurers in the Subordinate Treasuries,"

and fixed his pay at ₹500/-.

In a later Proceedings, Government further explicitly defined that the position and status of the Khazana Bakshi as Treasury Officer of the State Huzur Treasury was equal

6. In clause 11 of para 3 of Government Proceedings No.13663-4/752, dated 29th February 1892, Government decided that "eventually the whole of the Stamp Department should devolve upon the Khazana Bakshi" who was then only the Deputy Superintendent of Stamps. In pursuance of this decision the Khazana Bakshi was eventually in November 1898 appointed Superintendent of Stamps, a position which had been previously occupied by the Comptroller, and his pay was raised to ₹600/- with effect from 1st August 1898. Later on as the work of the combined offices of the State Huzur Treasury Officer and Superintendent of Stamps gradually increased with corresponding heavier responsibilities incidental thereto, the pay of the appointment was raised to ₹700/- with the rank and status of a Deputy Commissioner attached to it. Vide my predecessor's memorial dated 18th February 1904, and Government Order thereon No. Fl.274-5/R.F.183-03, dated 14th July 1904.

Under these circumstances, I beg to submit that even apart from personal considerations, it is very hard upon me to have to fill so important and responsible an office "of status among Durbar Officers" on a pay of Rs 550/- a month, and I accordingly beg that Government may be graciously pleased to accord me such pay and status as they may consider suitable, having regard to my past services and my present position.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Khazana Bakshi,
Officer in charge of the State
Huzur Treasury and Superintendent

I shall forward the Decree to the Secretariat

Before submitting the Question my position & Government for deposition I wish to draw your attention to Para 2 and Clauses 4, 5, 10 & 11 of Para 3 of Govt: Pro: No 13663-4/752 Dated 29: Febry 1892 and Paras 2, 4, 5 & 6 of Govt: Pro: No 11991/G. 2595 Dated 21st January 1894 and also Paras 1, 2, 20, 21, 23, 24, 34, 35 and 36 of Govt: Pro: No 6577-80/mis 1459 dated 25th Nov: 189

The above three Govt Pros: clearly expose my position towards all

my position to Government for definite
orders I wish to draw your attention
to Para 2 and Clauses 4, 5, 10 & 11 of Para 3
of Govt: Pro: No 13663-4/752 Dated 29: Febry 1892
and Paras 2, 4, 5 & 6 of Govt: Pro: No 11991/G. 2595
Dated 21st January 1894 and also
Paras 1, 2, 20, 21, 23, 24, 34, 35 and 36 of
Govt: Pro: No 6577-80/mis 1459 dated 25th Nov 189
The above three Govt Pros clearly
define my position beyond all
doubt

I shall feel thankful if you will kindly
go through this matter carefully and
see that my proper position at least
is recognised

Yours sincerely

William A. Pye

So far back as 25th October 1906, I submitt
a representation to Government requesting to accord m
as Huzur Khazana Bakshi, a status and pay suitable to
my past services and to the dignity and responsibilit
attaching to that Office which has, for long period,
been recognised as one to be held by "an Officer of
status among the Durbar Officers on the Head Quarter
Staff" with position "equal to that of District Treas
Officers, i.e., Deputy Commissioners in the Treasury
Department".

Government have not been pleased to vouchsa
a reply to my humble representation though a year has
elapsed and I feel deeply hurt thereby. In requesting
that Government may be graciously pleased to take my
grievances into consideration at an early date, I beg
to submit the following further facts for their kind
consideration and orders.

On 11th December 1896, I was appointed to a
as Assistant Secretary to Government in addition to m
duties as Private Secretary to Dewan, and a special
allowance of Rs. 100/- was further sanctioned for dut
devolving on me in connection with Government House
Entertainments and arrangements and I was authorised
draw a salary of Rs. 400/- a month with effect from
20th February 1897, which special allowance, however,
sanctioned in Government Proceedings (Military Depart

My position in the scale of Assistant Commissioners was then not settled and had I remained outside that scale and stuck to the Secretariat as an Assistant Secretary on Rs. 300 - 40 - 500, I should have risen to a pay of Rs. 460 + a personal allowance of Rs. 100 before Messrs. K. Chandy, M. Shama Rao and A. Subramanya Iyer and long before Messrs. M. A. Narayana Iyengar, V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar and M. Humza Hussein. But in response to my representation of 12th September 1898, I was appointed Assistant Commissioner 2nd Class, on Rs. 400 by Notification No. 6606/Mis.1478 dated 25th November 1898 when Mr. V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer was an Assistant Commissioner (Schedule B) on Rs. 375, and Messrs. K. Chandy, M. Shama Rao and A. Subramanya Iyer were in the 3rd Class (Schedule B) on Rs. 300. Owing to the accident of the latter drawing their increments at stated intervals, while I had to wait for vacancies, they reached the 1st Class of Assistant Commissioners before me.

5. I may here add that there was no intention whatever when the A and B Schedules were constituted in November 1891 that Officers in the B Schedule should supercede Officers in the A Schedule. It was fully expected and I had reason to know it from my position, that the flow of promotions by vacancies in Schedule A would advance pari passu with promotions in the B Schedule, by efflux of time; and if the officers in the higher grades had been retired on their reaching the age of 55, as they were before 1898 and subsequent to 1905, I should have reached the 1st Class on Rs. 450 after Mr. K. Srinivasa Rao but before Mr. V. R. Thyagaraja Iyer. And if an amalgamation of the various Schedules similar to the one now in force had been effect

in 1898, I should not have had to complain of supercession by men far my juniors in Service including Extra Assistant Commissioners - a class which until recently was outside the pale of the Civil Service and was created solely to satisfy the claims of the older and efficient Amildars and Munsiffs who could not look forward to the higher appointments in Public Service. As matters now stand, Mr. P. F. Bowring and I are the only two officers of the old Schedule A that have suffered grievously.

Under these circumstances, I beg that I may be given the status of a Deputy Commissioner like my predecessor, Mr. B. Gopaliengar, with a pay of Rs. 70 per mensem.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

V. Krishnaji

Huzur Khazana Bakshi,
State Huzur Treasury Officer a
Superintendent of Stamps.

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Genl. & Rev. Department
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

I have the honor to request you to be so goo
to submit the following representation for the kind co
sideration of Government.

2. I was appointed Private Secretary to the Dewan
on 7th December 1892 on a pay of Rs. 250/- per mensem, g
as Assistant Commissioner, 4th Class, Schedule A. Among o
members of the Mysore Civil Service, Messrs. T. Paramasiv
Iyer and C. Srikantesvara Aiyar attained similar substant
rank later than myself on 19th and 24th December 1892, wh
Messrs. K. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar and R. B. Plumer reach
the same still later on 23rd July 1393 and 19th November
respectively. Of them, two are now District Judges and on
a Deputy Commissioner on Rs. 700/- per mensem, and Mr. Ch
drasekhara Aiyar is Secretary to Government, General and
Revenue Departments, on a pay of Rs. 800/- rising to Rs.
1,200/-, while I still draw only Rs. 450/-.

3. For six years I discharged the onerous duties o
the Private Secretary to Dewan, and during the period of
Regency Council, in particular, my position was peculiarly
a very delicate and responsible one, the cares and anxieti
of which were accentuated by the combination of two import
offices of the Private Secretary to the Dewan and Assistan
Secretary to Government. It was only when the tension and
strain of such heavy duties considerably enfeebled my gene
health, that I was permitted to go out on executive duty.

6. In clause 11 of para 3 of Government Proceedings No. 13663-4/752 dated 19th February 1892, Government decided that "eventually the whole of the Stamp Department should devolve upon the Khasana Bakshi" who was then only the Deputy Superintendent of Stamps. In pursuance of this decision the Khazana Bakshi was eventually in November 1898 appointed Superintendent of Stamps, a position which had been previously occupied by the Comptroller, and his pay was raised to Rs. 600/- with effect from 1st August 1898. Later on as the work of the combined offices of the State Huzur Treasury Officer and Superintendent of Stamps gradually increased with corresponding heavier responsibilities incidental thereto, the pay of the appointment was raised to Rs. 700/- with the rank and status of a Deputy Commissioner attached to it. Vide my predecessor's Memorial dated 13th February 1904, and Government Order thereon No. M.274-5/R.F.183-03 dated 14th July 1904.

7. Under these circumstances, I beg to submit that even apart from personal considerations, it is very hard upon me to have to fill so important and responsible an office "of status among Durbar Officers" on a pay of Rs. 450/ a month, and I accordingly beg that Government may be graciously pleased to accord me such pay and status as they may consider suitable, having regard to my past services and my present position.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/. K.Krishna Iyer,

Khazana Bakshi,
Officer in charge of the Stat
Huzur Treasury and Superinten
of Stamps.

Read:-

Application dated the 25th October 1906, from Mr.K.Krishna Iyer, officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury complaining that officers who were once juniors to him have superseded him and requesting to be given suitable pay and status with due regard to his past services and present position.

2. Subsequent application dated the 26th October 1907, soliciting early orders on the above application and praying that he may be given the status of a Deputy Commissioner with a pay of Rs.700 per mensem

---

Orderthereon No @4756

Dated Bangalore, 30th January 1908.

Mr.Krishna Iyer was appointed Private Secretary to the Dewan in December 1892. In February and October 1897, and --

again in April and September 1898, he com-
plained of his having been supersed/ded by
some of his juniors and prayed to be appoin
-ed as an Assistant Commissioner for which
post he had qualified himself by passing at
the prescribed tests in November 1896. On a
careful consideration of his claims he was
appointed in November 1898 to the grade of
Assistant Commissioner 2nd class, schedule
A, on a pay of Rs.400 per mensem and was sul
-sequently promoted to the first class with
effect from the 25th May 1903.

2. Mr.Krishna Iyer has not since
had any promotion but this is due to the ab
sence of a sufficient number of vacancies
in the higher grades, a circumstance which
has equally affected other officers of both
the old A and B schedules who rose to the
pay of Rs.450 even earlier than Mr.Krish
r- It is also observed that no officer who
reached 450Rs.later than Mr.Krishnaer has
been placed above him.

3. As for his having reached
Rs.after other officers of the old B sch
dule, who were drawing less pay than he, a
one time, it has to be observed that this
due to his having been appointed to the
A schedule, in which promotions went by
cancies and not by efflux of time as in
B schedule recruited by competition. Wh
he was placed in the A schedule in 1898
possibility of this could not but have bee
foreseen and the treatment accorded the
must have been considered sufficient by
having regard to this circumstance. It i
possible that his position would have be
better if the grading and organization o
the Civil Service, adopted in 1906, had
adopted in 1898. But a different system
prevailed at that time and Mr.Krishna Iy
cannot reasonably urge claims based on
would have accrued to him had the presen
system then prevailed.

4. As regards the status of t

officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury the existing orders are that the appointment should be held by an Assistant Commissioner. The duties of the officer are not in the opinion of Government of sufficient importance or so onerous as to justify the appointment being graded with that of Deputy Commissioners. In these circumstances

5. In these circumstances Government regret that they do not see their way to comply with Mr.Krishna Iyer's prayer to accord to him the status and pay of a Deputy Commissioner.

Offg:Secretary.

The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury.